

Tuesday, April 27, 2021
Board of Selectmen Meeting Minutes
Virtual Meeting
7:00 p.m.

Members Present: Mark Elfman, Chair
Ed O’Leary, Vice Chair
Leah Gibson
Chris Mitchell

Also Present:
William Keegan Jr., Town Manager
Mike Johns, Assistant Town Manager
Christina Metcalf, Community Info. Specialist
*Attended remotely

1. 7:00pm - Citizen's Input – No input.

2. 7:05pm COVID-19 Informational update

Our numbers are going down with and we are at a 3.7% impact rate. We have 26.7 cases per 100,000. The Health department resolves residents to wear masks in public, keep 6 feet away and distance between each other, encouraging people to get vaccinated and stay home if they show any signs of Covid-19. All Massachusetts residents 16 years of age or older now eligible to receive the vaccine all residents who have not been vaccinated already to sign up for it or pre-register for vaccine vaccine sign up mass.gov. The State reports a total 5.7 million people have vaccinations have been administered within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. On April 23 the J&J vaccine shot is now available to be given after a short pause on distribution. The town as expected the following changes the Re-opening changes and match its reopening plan to the new regulations. On April 30, face coverings will not be required outside in public when people can social distance from each other. On May 10 Gillette Stadium and other large-scale venues remove from 12% to 25% capacity. Singing will be allowed in doors at restaurants providing the establishment follows the performance venue standards. Road races are allowed to occur with an appropriate safety plan approved by the local Board of Health and Department of Public Health. Amusement and water parks will be able to reopen subject to Covid restrictions. On May 29th, the gathering limits will increase to 200 people indoors and 250 people outdoors. Bars, beer gardens, and distilleries may re-open up subject restaurant standards. Food will not be required to be ordered with drinks Street festivals, parades, and agricultural festivals may take place at 50% capacity effective. On August 1st, all businesses will be able to reopen respective to opening standards. IFf the numbers improve further, they may actually open it earlier than August 1st. Seems we are heading clearly heading the right direction at this point in time. Still encouraging get both doses of your vaccine.

CM – There will 100% reopening on August 1st ?

BK – Yes but there will be not be requirements but recommendations with safe practices.

3. 7:15pm Nuisance Dog Hearing - ACO Kaycee Bailey*, Molly Rosenwasser*(Diego’s owner) Charity and Scott Conner* (Bella’s owners)

Reading of Nuisance Dog Hearing Notice

KB – Read statement of incident into the record.

ME – What is your recommendation?

KB – Both dog owners are aware of what my recommendations are, they both agree that Bella becomes a nuisance dog at this time. Bella should be muzzled and leashed anytime she is taken off property. She be confined or leash when on the property and be supervised all times. I also recommended that they seek further behavior training with a professional for her.

ME – Do either dog owners have anything to say?

Charity Conner – I don't have any questions. We worked through it and Diego's parents were very kind through whole thing, given the circumstances.

Molly Rosenwasser - We agree with the recommendation from Animal Control. Diego has is really doing well now, so we're also happy to report that.

Motion to deem Bella a Nuisance dog and require Bella be muzzled and leashed anytime she is taken off property and Bella be leashed and/or confined within a fence on property and supervised with strong recommendation that the owners of Bella, Charity and Scott Conner, seek behavior training with a professional trainer by L. Gibson 2nd by C. Mitchell. Approved 4-0.

ME – Thank you both parties to work through it all it is very difficult to get through this, when this tough decisions are made before us it's that much easier.

4. Change of Manager and Stock Transfer for Foxboro Country Store Inc. d/b/a The Country Store
Krupa Patel* and John Mooradian*, Esq

Reading of Public Hearing Notice

JM – Minesh Kumar is selling a portion of his ownership interest and he will remain with the corporation as an owner. Neil Patel, Krupa's husband, is buying into the corporation. The stock transfer is going to be 60% Minesh Kumar Patel and 40% Neil Patel and the the new manager of record will be Krupa Patel. She is here tonight who's here tonight for the change of manager. She is TIPS certified and working at the store as a cashier for a while now and is experienced in alcohol sales.

ME – Tell us little bit about your experience.

KP – My husband has bought a part of the store and I work there 7 days a week. I am TIPS certified.

Katie Lang – The application came in complete from John's office and everything has been uploaded to Board Docs.

BK – Any outstanding issues?

KL – There was the state issue of selling after 9pm and it was resolved by the state. ABCC reinstated the license and FPD checked in on them and are in good standing with the ABCC currently.

EO – Any incidents with the current license holder?

BK – Not as far as I'm aware.

KL - I worked with the Chief to make sure they were closed during their mandated closure.

Motion to close the public hearing by L. Gibson 2nd by C. Mitchell. Approved 4-0.

Motion to approve the Change of Manager from Mineshkumar Patel to Krupaben Patel for Foxboro Country Store Inc. d/b/a The Country Store by L. Gibson 2nd by C. Mitchell.

Discussion – ME – I just wanted to let you know that we were upset that you're still driving.

KP – I apologize.

Approved 4-0.

Motion to approve the Stock Transfer from Mineshkumar Patel to Niyal Patel for Foxboro Country Store Inc. d/b/a The Country Store by L. Gibson 2nd by C. Mitchell. Approved 4-0.

5. 7:45pm Shovel Town Brewery - Review and Finalization of Conditions for 30 One-Day Licenses

ME – I believe we are all good with the conditions that were compiled and the only thing we need to work out right now is the fee.

LG – It's irritating me I'll say that we're having this discussion again. In January I talked to Bill about our existing business owners when a business came to me about the licensing fees and it was a slippery slope but it's not something we should look at. I totally understand the position of Shovel Town and I understand that side of it. However it is it's getting to be an irritant to me they were talking about this again to reduce fees for something that we're trying to be creative and make this work but I'm not looking to reduce fees at this point or something you know you're apply for this as it is so it's it's a hard no for me.

CM - I'm not tracking what you talkin about back in January so excuse me if I'm not following.

LG – Back in January a local restaurant reached out and said it was tough with renewing alcohol fees and Board of Health fees and asking what can we do? Wwhen I talked to Bill and he said you know what the slippery slope I don't want to get into reducing fees because then what you do about taxpayers and you know our existing businesses here in town or not reducing fees for that we're not reducing fees for taxpayers. We're not reducing fees for existing business in town, seniors, and taxpayers. I don't know how a new business in town that hasn't been here and I get what you guys are saying about what the fee would be for the year. This just doesn't sit with me that were talking about this reducing fees before they've even opened.

ME - I'm personally thinking of it as we have the ability of Selectmen to determine what those fees are. I think an instance where the fee is just astronomical when compared to what a normal year-long alcohol fee would be which \$1,500. I know there is a fee for individual licenses but we had to go that route due to the fact that he didn't really fall into the the Farmers pour license. So again we're in a little bit of situation and this is the last thing to allow them to go forward. I think the \$1,500 is more than enough and we're not waiving fees.

LG - Once they do open they do have a different so the Farmers Pourers license is not the same as our normal on-premises license and they will not as I understand it have to pay the \$5,000 bond but everyone else has had to pay.

BK – They will still be subject to that bond because it's a new license for that premise have to be so you because it's a new license for that for that premises.

LG – We are making this work and I think we're bending over backwards to make it work. With this we are reducing fees. The \$50 per day it's \$4,500 is what it should be. I just don't feel right about it to our existing businesses that aren't having to use reduced so it's not enough table Shovel Town it's about equity amongst every other business that struggling right now.

KL – Just so everyone knows it's not a bond it's a new liquor license fee is given to the town its is actually a fee for economic development funds.

CM – Usually we've had one or 2 days for one day licenses. We've never had multi-day licenses like this and Shovel Town wasn't originally before us for the One-day licenses. I'm in agreement with Doc. We are looking at a business that wants to invest permanently in this town don't think it is right to charge them \$4,500. I came up with the same number like \$500 for each set of 30 One-day licenses. I think is fine and that was you know you look at all of the other towns around that are are doing the same exact thing it's not like we're doing anything different.

ME – Katie, you did a little research on that, can you share that

KL - I can't take credit for that it was nothing that I sought out to help the applicant, it was the applicant himself that did all the research. If you do look into BoardDocs there was some research he did particular for Boston and the one-day license fee they have. In Boston the fees are for an alcoholic beverage license \$150 per day. Malden beverages license is \$75 a day that the licensee is granted for 30 consecutive days, then it is a total of \$250 for an all alcoholic beverage license and \$175 for a malt and wine beverage license. Multiple breweries Night Shift, Trillium, Sam Adams, Wachusett, Cisco, DownEast, and Castle Island have all taken advantage of this. So is it significant decrease for one-day and then what they give for a consecutive 30 days.

ME - Similar right so far example at their profile location in Brockton

KL - In Brockton they paid \$500 for 30 days and in Ashland a time they have on a one-day fee of \$25 a day and they cap that at \$100 and 4 breweries took advantage of that.

BK – Maybe the other way to look at this, and I agree that Leah you make valid points. I believe that if we charge them for a full year license (which they only are using 5 months) it still would have been \$1,500 for the full year.

LG – We are here to approve 30 licenses not all 90.

BK – It's important because this business is making an investment and they deserve to know that cost for their business plan in all fairness.

EO – I would go with what the original fee is. The alcohol component is the biggest money maker. I perceive from my exposure and poses the biggest risk. I thought the original request was 8pm and now I'm finding out its 10pm. I'm leery of moving forward, because I've never seen anything move so quickly.

ME – We spent an incredible amount of time going through this. 10 pm was always a part of the original request.

PD – I wanted to address what Ed said about the timing. I can see how this would look like its moving quickly. Rally Point, Union Straw got their permits in one business day. We are moving quickly on certain things and helping our restaurants recover right now. Restaurants seem the most flexible. This is outside the box and support Main Street and community and believe it will be an asset. I don't believe this will be a party zone, its very community and family oriented. We are moving very quickly for all restaurants in the community.

LG – What have we spent in specialty liquor counsel?

BK – It's probably around a couple of thousand dollars. We do make meals tax and beer and wine. Because it was a unique use it did require more time. We are moving quickly more than normal because of the times we are in. The conditions themselves are all set and you should move to vote on them and then a separate condition would be then do a vote on the fees.

LG – We have about 6 pages of conditions that encompass everything from the Board of Health, Police, Planning Department and Planning Board. Everything's covered in here that we've talked about from dumpsters to dust the lighting to noise for those watching at home know everything that's gone into this. I know Paige has done a ton of work so thank you, she's amazing at getting everything kind of together. It's been a long road I think to get us here but I think that we've worked together with other boards to make sure that we're at a place that you know hopefully we'll make this as comfortable and successful as possible for everyone. Just to reiterate to this is not under Temporary Outdoor Dining Regulations. I still feel like there's some confusion there a letter came in from a resident with quotes from the initial meeting when we were talking about Farmers for temporary outdoor dining.

BK – We should however revisit the Temporary Outdoor Dining (TOD) regulations.

PD – It is Executive Order 50 for Shovel Town. Bill is saying that we are going to want to add that 60 days window for all our TOD permits. Because it's in the order it would address not only temporary outdoor dining and this license as well.

CM – The conditions are all the ones brought up at the last meeting and before and the abutters were happy in the direction we are going.

Motion to approve the conditions to issue 30, One-Day Beer and Wine Alcohol Licenses for Shovel Town Brewery Inc. d/b/a A Taste of Foxborough as proposed as amended to include July 8th – July 11th by L. Gibson 2nd by C. Mitchell. Approved 4-0.

ME – I think we should do a \$500 fee for each 30 days.

CM – I think we should do that. We are looking at a new business coming to town, not only this one, but the old Firehouse as well. In a year's time we'd be looking for \$10,000 from them. They are a business who is investing in this community for the long haul.

ME – I think \$500 per 30 days is good.

CM – I went back and watched the Board of Health meeting, these guys have been put through the ringer. When this is all said and done, we'll be welcoming them next year. To \$10,000 in liquor license fees in a year seems excessive.

Motion to approve a \$500 fee for 30, 1-day licenses for Shovel Town temporary pop-up Brewery d/b/a A Taste of Foxborough operating under the Governor's Executive Order by L. Gibson 2nd by C. Mitchell.

Discussion: LG – Do we want to put a cap overall so it's not for just one business.

BK – This is a temporary pop-up, you can add the Covid language you could.

CM – I think we should address it because it's for multiple.

BK – I would caution you to not do that tonight as you would have to add it as an agenda item.

Approved 3-1. L. Gibson opposed.

Frank Altieri – Thank you, we know it was a process and thank you to the Board and staff in working with us. Even though we were dragged through the ringer.

LG – Thank you to Paige and Katie as well for all your hard work.

6. 8:00pm Shared Winter Streets & Spaces Presentation - Town Engineer Lance DelPriore*, DPW Director Chris Gallagher*, Ron Bresse*- Planning Board, Paige Duncan* - Planning Director

CG – We are starting the next phase of the Shared Streets and came across the Bar Foundation who paired us up with Kittelson (Margaret Kent and Alec Pachowski). Previously a few months back we had Ed, Stephanie and Lt. Fitzgerald on our sub-committee and this helped us walked through with an outsider's perspective. We have a plan for another trial to include the South Street from School Street to Central Street. We got \$27,000 grant which will

let us actually buy some materials, rent some supplies, and actually walk through this trial process for the next phase of the traffic and pedestrian safety around the Common.

Lance DelPriore – What Kittleson put together is a redesign of school street portion of the rotary including the South Street and Central Street intersection which was of particular concern. This should address a few different issues to our attention. Existing parking will be converted to parallel parking. With our sub-committee and Steph and Ed were concerned about the reverse angle parking that was originally proposed. We settled on parallel parking to free up space and increase pedestrian areas. There is parallel parking on both sides near business such as Judy's flowers and the Orpheum. In additions down near the South and Central we are shortening the crossing distances and we are extending to prevent outer lane crossing. We will put information out to prepare residents for this and it is a pilot program.

CG- We're looking at right now at mid May right through Memorial Day weekend whether we pull it back before and afters this kind of to be determined. We would be using 13 more planters and block off the parking spaces and the striping hash marks for the parallel parking. This creates a safe buffer zone which was always a concern and will narrow the island so people will slow down for pedestrians.

CM – Is that whole roadway now going to be one lane of traffic or is it still two?

CG – It's still two, only thing that will be reduced to one lane is is where it is now at the Main Street entrance everything else were made two lanes all the way around. It just splits that lane so you can't exit from the inside lane. Once you get past Central Street it'll stay two lanes all the way back around to Main Street.

CM - With the parallel parking on both sides are we gaining or losing any parking spots or we breaking even?

LD – It will gain one spot, as proposed.

CM - Is that the only thing that is stopping at car from going into that now pedestiran area?

CG – Yes, for this trial it will be will probably add to it just to be safe, whether it's you know with caution tape or adding some extra delineators through there.

LG - Do we still feel good about that crosswalks and where they are?

CG – Yes, and one of the tough things to put a vertical structure here becomes a maintaining issue year round and turning radius wise and did have Kittleson use the Mansfield tower truck as a test to make sure that it doesn't get restrictive. The wheels fit but the backend of the truck does hang over the curb but with the trial we can see and make adjustments.

LG – We have one consultant who did one end the Common and now another and I just want to make sure one is talking to the other.

ME – Moving from School to Central, you mentioned getting a big truck through there. I find the parking along Central Street, would you cut that a bit further up on Central Street?

CG – That first space on Central as you come off the common may end up being removed. The large trucks come in a bit tight and we have talked about getting that off but with the striping with this trial it would make it easier and if this trial becomes permanent we could block off that.

ME – Could you explain the parking spots on School side?

CG – Yes we push out the street and then have parallel parking spaces on both sides.

ME – No fear how fast people travel with the parallel parking?

CG – That is why we are doing a trial. We'll put things out on social media and get the information out there. There is already parking along the common on South and Central. This does fit better than reverse angle parking.

CM – What would the trial period length be?

CG – The consultant suggested two weeks. We’ve had our grant funding extended and it was until May 31st. If there are parts of it or all of it that are working there is no reason to take it down. If we do realize there are things that are not working we can pull it down from a safety standpoint. We do have to block out the white striping there. We would come in Sunday night and do it Monday morning.

CM – Have Chief Grace and Kelleher seen this?

CG – We spoke to Lt. Fitzgerald and is in favor and is a part of the team that is watching the trial.

LG – We don’t have a meeting that week, is there any concern going that long.

CG – It is flexible and we can push it until we have the meeting on June 8th.

PD – Can you approve it with the understanding that if public safety and works deem something unsafe that it will and can be changed up until the review meeting.

CM – Could you send the color drawing? It’s easier to understand.

Motion to approve the proposed plan for traffic pattern alteration on School Street from Main Street to South Street and South Street from School Street to Central Street by Kittelson & Associates for a trial period beginning on May 17, 2021 through June 8th, 2021 by L. Gibson 2nd by C. Mitchell.

Approved 4-0.

7. 8:20pm Town Manager Presentation - American Rescue Plan (ARP) Funding

BK – The town will be receiving some American Rescue Plan funding from the federal government. The amount of money that will be earmarked for Foxborough is \$1, 815, 163. There will be another \$750,000 that will be earmarked for the schools and additional amount will come through the county and that number is \$3,568,373.

ARP funds are federal stimulus allocates money directly to cities, towns, and counties in every state. The money is slated to be used in several broad areas including:

1. Respond to the public health emergency with respect to COVID-19 or its negative economic impacts, including assistance to households, small businesses and nonprofits, or aid to impacted industries such as tourism, travel and hospitality
2. For the provision of government services to the extent of the reduction in revenue (i.e. online, property or income tax) due to the public health emergency
3. Make necessary investments in water, sewer or broadband infrastructure,

Funds distributed on a per capita basis directly through the Federal government. The federal government is saying that you get \$194 per capita. Norfolk County will receive \$137 million

CM – The federal government said the town will get \$194 per person?

BK – Yes.

The question the Norfolk County managers are asking - should Norfolk County Receive the funds and disperse them? We are asking that the funds be dispersed to each town to use as they see fit. The County office lacks the administrative capacity or experience in managing a grant program of this size and scope. It is our perspective that the cities and towns in the County can allocate the funds according to their local needs and local determination. The demographics of Norfolk County are so diverse that introducing new county-wide programs at this point in time would prove to be ineffective and inefficient. Given the current county government structure in Massachusetts and based on the current disbursement policy for sharing this federal aid, it would appear that an inequity would occur if Norfolk County did not share the majority of the aid with the County’s cities and towns.

CM - Going back to my previous questions, why wouldn’t they dole it out?

BK – The money goes directly to the County and they could use the funds to address other County needs

Revenue replacement - Cities and Towns have lost millions of dollars in meals, hotel/motel taxes, and payments in lieu of taxes (PiLoT Payments). Conversely, Norfolk County is not subject to these same revenue losses since they are not collected at this level. Program revenues for cultural and social activities for cities and towns has been decimated by the pandemic. Norfolk County government has not felt this impact since those services are not offered on a county wide basis. While Norfolk County agencies including the Registry, Courts, Jail, and the Norfolk Aggie School were all impacted by the COVID crisis, it was local public health and safety that bore the brunt of the governmental response throughout the County. Many communities will continue to incur substantial costs for vaccinations, contact tracing, monitoring, food insecurity, housing and rental assistance, mental health services and more. Norfolk County does not provide any of these services directly to residents of the County. Norfolk County does not own any significant water, sewer, or broadband infrastructure that funds could be used on. Communities in the County have substantial costs in deferred maintenance in these areas. Communities have shouldered added costs in other areas that do not impact Norfolk County in rising water/sewer usage, solid waste usage, and other taxing of budget lines and infrastructure that will drain investment from these areas making additional funds more necessary. The next slides shows what allocations with and without county funds. Our direct aid was \$1.8 millin with this additional \$3.565 million we have about \$5.4 million in ARP funds. It is the Norfolk County Manager's Position that Norfolk County, via the County Commissioners should transfer all funds received directly to Towns in the County via the same per capita formula utilized by the federal government for non-County communities in Massachusetts. This will help to ensure proper tracking, allocation, accounting, and the efficient and intended use of these funds. If the County identifies a dollar threshold of funds it could utilize, this amount of money could be granted back to the County via a County-wide Inter-Municipal Agreement granting said funds to the County. This is an action that is allowed under the ARP. Select Boards and City Councils in Norfolk County should sign the Norfolk County ARP Funds Resolution, forward it to the County Commissioners and lobby them for their support of this action.

Next steps would be:

1. The Norfolk County Municipal Manager Association has met with our counterparts and have begun the dialog with Norfolk County to determine how APR funds should be allocated to communities within the County.
2. Elected officials in each of the Norfolk County Communities are encouraged to sign the "ARP Funds Resolution" and forward it to the County Commissioners.
3. Residents in each Norfolk County community are encouraged to write their elected County Commissioners and request that they allocate ARP funds directly to the communities of Norfolk County.

BK – I don't think we should do anything right now or sign but we should take a look at it next week. If nothing moves then go to #2 and #3 and send letters. I do think they are giving this very thoughtful consideration and we should give them the time to think it through. We believe the County should give it directly to us. Thank you for your attention to this as we try to resolve it. I do want to give my condolences to Fran O'Brien who was a Norfolk County Commissioner who passed away this weekend. He was a very well-liked guy and did a lot for the County.

CM – What is the status of the money right now?

BK – The way that the \$1.8 million that we receive directly, we should receive in May. The federal treasury hasn't given guidelines but we've been kept aware of all the information as it comes out. It will come as \$900,000 this fiscal and \$900,000 next fiscal year. Not sure how the \$3.5 million would be given to us, as of now whether it would be in one or two payments.

ME – Please keep on this and give us updates as we go forward.

8. 9:00pm Assistant Town Manager's Update

- I sent out the Town Managers self-evaluation today. Please return to our office by May 12th. It will be prepared and composite presented at the May 25th meeting. I did research to see if there was any problem with a Board

member being involved in the process and that is fine. It will come back to our office and then with the Chair the HR Specialist will composite. I can print the comments and have them sent out to the Board tomorrow.

- We launched the CivicHR and it's working very well.

- We've had a Business Systems Analyst. We used this to fund a Benefits Administrator and Procurement Administrator. On the advice of our Auditor we created the Procurement Administrator. The Benefits Administrator will take over functions like accruals, ACA reporting and other functions. We met with Bob Brown, our auditor, and he believes those are sound business practices. Chief Assessor, Hanne Simmonds will be retiring, and this is one of those positions that there aren't a lot of people who are fully trained and ready in the municipal sector, which is why we are starting early with the advertisement of this position. Administrative Assistant position for Assessors and this will also provide assistance in Finance during slow times.

LG – We took a part time position and made 2 full time?

MJ – No, we took a full time Unit A and made it into a 2 full time Unit B

LG – Cost of benefits with 2 positions?

MJ – We are still saving money.

CM – Typically if the auditors recommend it, you should take a serious look at it.

MJ – It wasn't an error or gap that we had, it was pointed out by the Auditor that those duties should be separated out.

LG – Putting the Benefits position in the Finance department is not what I would recommend. I would have it be in Human Resources.

BK – The will be cross connected (in HR and Finance) but will report to Finance. Under municipal finance the health insurance programs typically report to the treasurer/collector. Same thing for the pensions. The benefits are still managed through the HR function. The financial pieces will be managed through this position.

LG – Who is doing leaves now?

BK – Sarah is doing that.

MJ – Our assistant accountant did it prior, but with the transition of Finance department and it fell on our HR Specialist. Instead of having the Assistant Finance Director do it, the Benefits administrator will do it. I'm in Finance several times a day and will have oversight of this. Confidential HR issues will stay, like FCCRA and Cares Act.

9. 9:15pm Town Manager's Update

- Did receive notification of Matt Brennan of aerial spray on the wetlands to eliminate mosquito larvae by the State. We will forward this to fire and police dispatch so people are aware of this.

- Successful opening of SEMRECC on April 16th

ME – It's truly a remarkable place.

- Schneider donated file cabinets worth \$80

- Water restriction of a significant drought. Watering by hand held hose only before 9:00am and after 5:00pm

- Annual reports are available online this week and printed versions next week. An executive decision was made to have the salary tables online (30 pages of it) and the printed version would not have the salaries in it.

10. 9:25pm - Selectmen's Update

LG – Town Elections and Town Meeting are coming up next week. We should work with the Town Clerk's office to provide information on what that will look like and Covid precautions will be taken.

BK – We met yesterday with Bob and Frank to go over the motions. We will have to address a few things that the Moderator brought up.

- Town Elections starts the Town Meeting process on May 3rd and go from 7am -8pm. Town meeting will occur at 7:30 at the Foxborough High School. We will address the budget, capital plan, and 27 total articles.

ME – We officially want to thank you, Chris, for your 6 years of service along with your intelligence, insight and calm way of dealing with issues.

CM – It was fun.

BK – On behalf of the staff and department heads it has been a great experience and we thank you for your service.

CM – I appreciate the other board members I've served with and the volunteers.

11. Action Items

Subject 11.1 BOS - Pool Table License Renewals for Foxborough VFW and Rally Point

Motion to approve a Pool Table License for 2 coin-operated pool tables for T&O Walker Inc. d/b/a Rally Point Inn & Pub to expire 5/1/22 by L. Gibson 2nd by C. Mitchell. Approved 4-0.

Motion to approve a Pool Table License for 2 coin-operated pool tables for Foxborough VFW to expire 5/1/22 by L. Gibson 2nd by C. Mitchell. Approved 4-0.

Subject 11.2 Recreation - Acceptance of 12 donated picnic tables from Schneider Electric

Motion to accept 12 donated picnic tables from Schneider Electric to the Recreation Department by L. Gibson 2nd by C. Mitchell.

Discussion: ME – Are those going to be on the Common?

LG – They will be on the playground. And the fields look great as well.

Approved 4-0.

Subject 11.3 Planning - Authorization of 17 Centennial Street Deed for Land Transfer

Motion to authorize the 17 Centennial Street Deed for Land Transfer from the Town of Foxborough to the Foxborough Housing Authority by L. Gibson 2nd by C. Mitchell.

Discussion: ME – That is just the final step.

BK – We received the deed and it was reviewed by Town Counsel.

LG – And we've done Market to Centennial?

BK – That's the next phase. Once we do the paperwork on the other property it will come together.

Approved 4-0.

12. Adjourn

Motion to adjourn by L. Gibson 2nd by C. Mitchell. Approved 4-0.